

MINUTES
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YORK

Regular Meeting
December 6, 2005

6:00 p.m.

Meeting Convened. A Regular Meeting of the York County Board of Supervisors was called to order at 6:00 p.m., Tuesday, December 6, 2005, in the East Room, York Hall, by Chairman James S. Burgett.

Attendance. The following members of the Board of Supervisors were present: Walter C. Zarembo, Kenneth L. Bowman, James S. Burgett, and Thomas G. Shepperd, Jr.

Sheila S. Noll was absent.

Also in attendance were James O. McReynolds, County Administrator; J. Mark Carter, Assistant County Administrator; and James E. Barnett, County Attorney.

WORK SESSION

SIX-YEAR SECONDARY ROAD PLAN

Mr. McReynolds stated that each year, in accordance with State regulations, the County and the Board of Supervisors are required to review the Six-Year Secondary Road Plan. He then said that Mr. Jim Brewer, Residency Administrator, Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), was present to go over the proposed plan and answer any questions that the Board might have. He also stated that the Board is required to hold a joint public hearing with VDOT prior to adopting a resolution to recommend the plan and convey it to the State, and that public hearing is scheduled for the next Board of Supervisors meeting on December 20, 2005.

Mr. Carter stated there are no funds available to add projects to the proposed plan, and he gave a summary of the allocations over the past couple of years. He stated that in 2002 the County was getting about \$2.2 million or more annually allocated to the County for the program, but VDOT has found it necessary to substantially cut the funding; and the County has been operating at about 40 percent of what it had back in early 2000's. The good news is that the allocations are actually up a little this year over last year. Last year VDOT was projecting about \$1.3 million for this year, and it is coming in at about \$1.5 million, but not enough to bring the program back to where it was in the late 1990's or early 2000's. Mr. Carter then showed a slide depicting how Mr. Brewer would propose to allocate the \$1.5 million in funding to the various projects that are on the Board's priority list. Mr. Carter then reviewed the various projects in the County plan as follows:

1. **Cary's Chapel Road** – construct intersection improvement at Victory Boulevard (Route 171). *Status: Construction underway.*
2. **Big Bethel Road** – intersection improvements at Route 134 and Route 171. *Status: Project bid twice; bids exceeded budget; current status pending.*
3. **Fort Eustis Boulevard Extension** – construct a new road on a new location between the current terminus at Patriot Square Shopping Center and Old York-Hampton Highway (Route 634). *Status: Construction underway.*
4. **Grafton Drive** – reconstruct and re-align Grafton Drive/Dare Road/Route 17 intersection to accommodate connection of Grafton Drive and Burts Road. Project extends to current Rainbrook Villas entrance. *Status: Right-of-Way acquisition underway; Construction date to be determined.*

December 6, 2005

5. **Lakeside Drive** – intersection and turning lane improvements between Route 17 and Dare Road. *Status: Right-of-Way acquisition underway; Construction date to be determined.*
6. **Penniman Road** – reconstruct and repave from Alexander Lee Parkway to Fillmore Drive. *Status: Right-of-Way acquisition scheduled for FY 2007; Construction schedule to be determined.*
7. **Burts Road** – connect with Grafton Drive on a new corridor alignment parallel to Route 17. *Status: Right-of-way partially acquired by dedications; construction date to be determined.*
8. **Yorkville Road** – improve 90-degree curve. *Status: Preliminary Engineering underway; Construction date beyond 6-year plan term.*
9. **Cook Road** – provide 20% local match for CMAQ-funded project to construct bicycle lanes between the northern intersection of Surrender Road and Ballard Street. *Status: Preliminary Engineering underway; Construction date to be determined.*
10. **Water Country Parkway** – relocate/re-align to eliminate s-curve and reconstruct to improve access to economic priority area. *Status: Preliminary Engineering underway; Construction date to be determined.*

Mr. Zaremba indicated the traffic signal has been installed at Mooretown and Airport Road, and he asked if the figure in the plan was the cost of the project or what was left over from the project.

Mr. Brewer stated the amount was the total cost of the project.

Mr. Burgett asked if the intersection was going to be improved.

Mr. Brewer said there were no plans for improvements. He then spoke of the problems encountered with the Big Bethel Road intersection project. He stated that it had been bid twice and the estimate went up, and he had applied another \$400,000 dollars to it this year to bring it back in line. He stated there is no reason why this project should not go this coming year.

Mr. Shepperd stated there is something wrong in the process. He stated he was expressing a frustration because he had informed his constituents long before the first bid went out that the project was going forward. This road is not only important, but it is also getting dangerous now because traffic backs up at least halfway down the road. He asked that the County staff help track the project so that it can aggressively move forward.

Mr. Burgett asked Mr. Brewer if he had a date for when the Big Bethel project was going to be scheduled.

Mr. Brewer stated it should be done within the coming year and that the funding is in place.

Discussion followed on when construction on Lakeside Drive might take place.

Mr. Carter said that in future years the Board may want to discuss how to allocate the funding between this project or the Burts Road connector, and that the Board should indicate to Mr. Brewer how fast it wants Lakeside Drive to move along versus how fast it wants the Burts Road connector to move along.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

REVISED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

Mr. McReynolds stated that as required by State law, the County reviews its Comprehensive Plan every five years. The process was started back on July 22, 2004, with the kickoff of the

Steering Committee, and the Steering Committee conducted a series of neighborhood open houses for public input purposes between September and October of 2004. In January and February of 2005 a telephone survey was conducted; and by July of 2005, the Steering Committee wrapped up its work. He said that information about the process was posted on the County's website, and the minutes from the Steering Committee meetings were available on the County website. In August the Planning Commission held a work session and a public hearing. On September 14th the Planning Commission approved the draft plan and recommended it to the Board of Supervisors. On October 11th the Board conducted a work session, and on October 25th the Board held a public hearing on the revised plan. Following the public hearing, the Board instructed staff to send out letters notifying property owners of the potential impact on specific properties, and there was another work session conducted November 1st. On November 15th there was an additional public comment time for the affected land owners to address the Board, and another work session was conducted on November 22nd. Mr. McReynolds noted that before the Board this evening was the revised plan, including changes as the Board had directed. He stated that he and staff and were ready to answer any questions that the Board might have regarding the plan.

Mr. Burgett stated that he had reviewed the areas of changes that the Board had directed throughout the plan, and he did not find an error. He asked the Board members if they had any comments or questions.

Mr. Shepperd commented that he thought the Board had given every opportunity to the public to speak on the revised plan, and he thanked the members of the Steering Committee for the hard work they had done.

Mr. Bowman said the staff and Steering Committee tried to make every opportunity available to the citizens, and he felt the initiative the Board had taken when it sent out letters to the homeowners that were affected by the proposed revisions was a great step forward in letting the citizens know that the Board was looking out for their welfare by giving them another opportunity to come forward and to voice their concerns.

Mr. Zaremba commented specifically on a piece of land located in the upper County owned by Colonial Williamsburg and bounded by Bypass Road, Rochambeau Road, Waller Miller Road, and Route 132. He stated the Board did not subscribe to the recommendation from the Planning Commission to change the designation from medium density to low density because of the proactive initiative on the part of Colonial Williamsburg going out with the request for proposals and presenting the Board with a development concept which included a substantial reduction in the number of potential homes that could be built on the property. He said he saw Colonial Williamsburg's action as a kind of partnership, recognizing that both are in this together with respect to the best interest of the citizens of the region. Mr. Zaremba stated he was sure that the leadership of Colonial Williamsburg would make sure that their promises are fulfilled and that the number of units developed on the property is somewhere in the 300's.

Mr. Burgett noted that to implement the recommendations of the Comprehensive Plan would be done with a zoning ordinance amendment which will specifically address everything and would give anyone who owned a piece of land to be rezoned an opportunity to come and express their opinion before the Planning Commission and again before the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Burgett noted the Zoning Ordinance amendments would probably not be considered until mid-summer because of the budget and some other big projects coming up. He expressed his deep appreciation for the work that had been done on the Plan by the staff and the Steering Committee.

Mr. Shepperd moved the adoption of proposed Ordinance No. 05-35 that reads:

AN ORDINANCE TO ADOPT AN UPDATED COMPREHENSIVE
PLAN FOR YORK COUNTY

WHEREAS, the Code of Virginia (1950), as amended, requires the adoption of a comprehensive plan by all Virginia localities; and

December 6, 2005

WHEREAS, on December 5, 1991, the York County Board of Supervisors adopted the County's Comprehensive Plan titled "Charting the Course to 2010"; and

WHEREAS, on October 6, 1999, the Board of Supervisors adopted an update to that plan titled "Charting the Course to 2015"; and

WHEREAS, §15.2-2230 of the Code of Virginia requires that the Planning Commission, at least once every five years, review the Comprehensive Plan and determine whether it is advisable to amend the plan; and

WHEREAS, the Comprehensive Plan Review Steering Committee, appointed by the Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission, did from July 2004 through July 2005 conduct a complete and thorough review of the Comprehensive Plan, which included an extensive citizen input process; and

WHEREAS, the recommendations of that committee, embodied in the draft plan update, were referred to the York County Planning Commission for its review and recommendation; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission conducted a duly advertised public hearing on the draft plan update on August 24, 2005; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with §15.2-2225 of the Code of Virginia, the Commission did on September 14, 2005, certify a draft of the proposed updated Comprehensive Plan with changes and transmit it to the York County Board of Supervisors with a recommendation of adoption; and

WHEREAS, on October 25, 2005, the Board of Supervisors conducted a duly advertised public hearing on the proposed updated plan and accepted additional public comment on November 15, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the Board has carefully considered the citizen comments and the recommendations of the Planning Commission, the Comprehensive Plan Review Steering Committee, and the staff with respect to the proposed updated plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the York County Board of Supervisors this the 6th day of December, 2005, that the proposed updated Comprehensive Plan, titled "Charting the Course to 2025" and dated September 14, 2005, amended in accordance with the recommendations titled "Proposed Revisions to the September 14th Draft of the York County Comprehensive Plan: Charting the Course to 2025," prepared by the York County Planning Division and dated November 29, 2005, and attached to the County Administrator's memorandum to the Board dated November 29, 2005, be, and it is hereby, adopted in accordance with §15.2-2226 of the Code of Virginia.

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (4) Zaremba, Bowman, Shepperd, Burgett
Nay: (0)

CONSENT CALENDAR

Mr. Bowman moved that the Consent Calendar be approved as submitted, Item Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, respectively.

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (4) Bowman, Shepperd, Zaremba, Burgett
Nay: (0)

Thereupon, the following resolutions were adopted:

Item No. 3. SENIOR CENTER LEASE EXTENSION: Resolution No. 05-194

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE A LEASE EXTENSION AGREEMENT WITH GRAFTON II ASSOCIATES, A VIRGINIA GENERAL PARTNERSHIP, AND HARVEY LINDSAY COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE, AGENT, FOR RENTAL SPACE AT WASHINGTON SQUARE SHOPPING CENTER FOR THE SENIOR CENTER OF YORK

WHEREAS, the York County Board of Supervisors has a long standing commitment to services which benefit the senior citizens of York County; and

WHEREAS, since February 1, 2001, the County has operated the Senior Center of York in six thousand three hundred and sixty-seven (6,367) square feet of rented space in Units 17, 18, and 19 at the Washington Square Shopping Center; and

WHEREAS, the current lease agreement expires on January 31, 2006; and

WHEREAS, the Senior Center Board of Directors, County staff, and the Peninsula Agency on Aging (PAA) are satisfied with the current location and facilities, which serve over 17,000 patrons each year; and

WHEREAS, the current facility satisfactorily accommodates the needs of the Center and can continue to do so until such time as a larger rental or permanent County-owned space is deemed a necessity and becomes available in the future; and

WHEREAS, the proposed extension agreement includes a fixed annual rate of \$12.50 per square foot or \$79,587.50 per year for the length of the five (5) year period of the lease, or until January 31, 2011;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors on this the 6th day of December, 2005, that the County Administrator be, and he is hereby, authorized to execute a lease extension agreement with Grafton II Associates, a Virginia General Partnership and Harvey Lindsay Commercial Real Estate, agent for the owner, substantially in the form as submitted to the Board by the County Administrator, for the operation of the Senior Center of York for the term February 1, 2006 through January 31, 2011, for approximately six thousand three hundred and sixty-seven (6,367) square feet identified as Units 17, 18, and 19 of Washington Square Shopping Center, for rent in the amount of \$79,587.50 annually and subject to the terms and conditions of the lease and subject to approval by the County Attorney.

BE IT STILL FURTHER RESOLVED that this lease agreement is subject to continuing annual appropriations by the York County Board of Supervisors.

Item No. 4. PURCHASE AUTHORIZATION: Resolution No. R05-197

A RESOLUTION TO AMEND AND RESTATE THE COOPERATIVE SERVICE AGREEMENT WITH THE VIRGINIA PENINSULA REGIONAL JAIL AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, York County ("County") entered into an Amended and Restated Cooperative Service Agreement ("Service Agreement") on August 1, 1995 with the Virginia Peninsula Regional Jail Authority ("Jail Authority"), which provides for the financing, construction and operation of the Jail Authority; and

WHEREAS, the First Amendment to Amended and Restated Cooperative Service Agreement ("Amendment Agreement") modifies the Service Agreement by removing a Per Diem Charge for use of the Jail Authority and incorporating a monthly Member Jurisdiction Charge in its place; and

December 6, 2005

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors is of the opinion the County should execute the amendment Agreement to incorporate the Member Jurisdiction Charge to the Service Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this 6th day of December, 2005, that the County Administrator be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to execute the Amendment Agreement in order to incorporate the Member Jurisdiction Charge to the Service Agreement.

Item No. 5. REGIONAL JAIL AUTHORITY COOPERATIVE SERVICE AGREEMENT: Resolution No. R05-173

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE AN EXTENSION OF THE COUNTY'S SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM TO A PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT KNOWN AS PENNIMAN ROAD OFFICE WAREHOUSE PARK, AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF THE NECESSARY PUBLIC SEWER EXTENSION AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, The Digges Company has requested that the County enter into a public sewer extension agreement pursuant to § 18.1-53 (b) of the York County Code to serve three new commercial buildings; and

WHEREAS, the plan for the proposed project has been reviewed by the County; and

WHEREAS, prior to final approval of these plans and the initiation of any construction activity, it is necessary that a determination be made as to whether the Board will authorize the extension of the public sewer facilities of the County to serve the proposed development; and

WHEREAS, it has been determined that sufficient capacity exists in the County's existing sewer system to serve the proposed development, or will exist when the facilities proposed by the developer are constructed; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the terms of Chapter 18.1 of the York County Code the total connection fee to be paid to the County for the proposed extension to serve this development has been determined to be \$19,800;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this 6th day of December, 2005, that it does hereby approve the extension of the County's public sewer system to serve the proposed development, Penniman Road Office Warehouse Park, and that the County Administrator be, and he is hereby, authorized to execute a public sewer extension agreement with The Digges Company for the proposed extension; such agreement to be approved as to form by the County Attorney.

Item No. 6. PUBLIC SEWER EXTENSION AGREEMENT – PENNIMAN ROAD OFFICE WAREHOUSE: Resolution No. R05-198

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE AN EXTENSION OF THE COUNTY'S SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM TO A PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT KNOWN AS PENNIMAN ROAD OFFICE WAREHOUSE PARK, AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF THE NECESSARY PUBLIC SEWER EXTENSION AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, The Digges Company has requested that the County enter into a public sewer extension agreement pursuant to § 18.1-53 (b) of the York County Code to serve three new commercial buildings; and

WHEREAS, the plan for the proposed project has been reviewed by the County; and

WHEREAS, prior to final approval of these plans and the initiation of any construction activity, it is necessary that a determination be made as to whether the Board will authorize the extension of the public sewer facilities of the County to serve the proposed development; and

WHEREAS, it has been determined that sufficient capacity exists in the County's existing sewer system to serve the proposed development, or will exist when the facilities proposed by the developer are constructed; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the terms of Chapter 18.1 of the York County Code the total connection fee to be paid to the County for the proposed extension to serve this development has been determined to be \$19,800;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this 6th day of December, 2005, that it does hereby approve the extension of the County's public sewer system to serve the proposed development, Penniman Road Office Warehouse Park, and that the County Administrator be, and he is hereby, authorized to execute a public sewer extension agreement with The Digges Company for the proposed extension; such agreement to be approved as to form by the County Attorney.

Item No. 7. STREET ACCEPTANCE: Resolution No. R05-198

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF
TRANSPORTATION TO INCLUDE CERTAIN STREETS IN VINE-
YARD HEIGHTS INTO THE SECONDARY SYSTEM OF STATE
HIGHWAYS

WHEREAS, the following streets, which is shown on a plat recorded in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of York County, have been constructed to standards equal to the Virginia Department of Transportation's Subdivision Street Requirements as a requisite for acceptance for maintenance as part of the Secondary System of State Highways; and

WHEREAS, the Residency Administrator for the Virginia Department of Transportation has inspected these streets and found them to be acceptable for maintenance; and

WHEREAS, the York County Board of Supervisors does hereby guarantee unencumbered rights of way, as described on the following Form LA-5A, plus the necessary easements for cuts, fills, and drainage for these streets;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors, this the 6th day of December, 2005, that the Virginia Department of Transportation be, and it hereby is, requested to add and maintain the streets described on the following Form LA-5A as part of the Secondary System of State Highways, pursuant to Section 33.1-229, Code of Virginia, 1950 amended, and the regulatory requirements of VDOT.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be forwarded to the developer of Vineyard Heights and to the Residency Administrator of the Virginia Department of Transportation.

In the County of York

By resolution of the governing body adopted December 6, 2005

The following Form LA-5A is hereby attached and incorporated as part of the governing body's resolution for changes in the secondary system of state highways.

Report of Changes in the Secondary System of State Highways

Form LA-5A

Local Assistance Division 6/2005
Project/Subdivision

Vineyard Heights

Type of Change: Addition

The following additions to the Secondary System of State Highways, pursuant to the statutory provision or provisions cited, are hereby requested, the right of way for which, including additional easements for drainage as required, is guaranteed:

Reason for Change: Addition, New subdivision street

Pursuant to Code of Virginia Statute: §33.1-229

Route Number and/or Street Name

Golden Drive, State Route Number 1165

Description: *From:* Route 642 (Queens Creek Road)
 To: Route 1166 (Cabernet Road)

A distance of: 0.05 mile.

Right of Way Record: Filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court on 12/19/2001, Instrument No. 010021798, with a width of 50'

Golden Drive, State Route Number 1165

Description: *From:* Route 1166 (Cabernet Road)
 To: Route 1167 (Chardonnay Road)

A distance of: 0.05 mile.

Right of Way Record: Filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court on 12/19/2001, Instrument No. 010021798, with a width of 50'

Golden Drive, State Route Number 1165

Description: *From:* Route 1167 (Chardonnay Road)
 To: Route 1168 (Burgundy Road)

A distance of: 0.05 mile.

Right of Way Record: Filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court on 12/19/2001, Instrument No. 010021798, with a width of 50'

Golden Drive, State Route Number 1165

Description: *From:* Route 1168 (Burgundy Road)
 To: End of cul-de-sac

A distance of: 0.11 mile.

Right of Way Record: Filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court on 12/19/2001, Instrument No. 010021798, with a width of 50'

Cabernet Road, State Route Number 1166

Description: *From:* Route 1165 (Golden Road)
 To: Route 1169 (Silverado Trail)

A distance of: 0.07 mile.

Right of Way Record: Filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court on 12/19/2001, Instrument No. 010021798, with a width of 50'

Cabernet Road, State Route Number 1166

Description: *From:* Route 1169 (Silverado Trail)
 To: End of T Turnaround

A distance of: 0.07 mile.

Right of Way Record: Filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court on 12/19/2001, Instrument No. 010021798, with a width of 50'

Silverado Trail, State Route Number 1169

Description: *From:* Route 1166 (Cabernet Road)
 To: Route 642 (Queens Creek Road)

A distance of: 0.04 mile.

Right of Way Record: Filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court on 12/19/2001, Instrument No. 010021798, with a width of 50'

Chardonnay Road, State Route Number 1167

Description: *From:* Route 1165 (Golden Road)
 To: End of T Turnaround

A distance of: 0.13 mile.

Right of Way Record: Filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court on 12/19/2001, Instrument No. 010021798, with a width of 50' Burgundy Road, State Route Number 1168

Description: *From:* Route 1165 (Golden Road)
To: End of T Turnaround

A distance of: 0.11 mile.

Right of Way Record: Filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court on 12/19/2001, Instrument No. 010021798, with a width of 50'

OPEN DISCUSSION

Mr. Shepperd spoke about an article in the Williamsburg Gazette that talked about the crime rate in York County dipping slightly from 4.9 percent to 4.6 percent. He stated he thought what the article was saying was that York County was a target as all communities are, but it has very active law enforcement, with very active communities, and the citizens pay attention to what is going on around them. Mr. Shepperd stated the citizens of York County and its law enforcement agencies should be congratulated.

CLOSED MEETING. At 6:31 p.m. Mr. Zaremba moved that the meeting be convened in Closed Meeting pursuant to Section 2.2-3711(a)(1) of the Code of Virginia pertaining to appointments to Boards and Commissions.

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (4) Shepperd, Zaremba, Bowman, Burgett
Nay: (0)

Meeting Reconvened. At 6:42 p.m. the meeting was reconvened in open session by order of the Chair.

Mr. Shepperd moved the adoption of proposed Resolution SR-1 that reads:

A RESOLUTION TO CERTIFY COMPLIANCE WITH THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REGARDING MEETING IN CLOSED MEETING

WHEREAS, the York County Board of Supervisors has convened a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3711.1 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the York County Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this the 6th day of December, 2005, hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (1) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (2) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed, or considered by the York County Board of Supervisors.

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (4) Bowman, Shepperd, Zaremba, Burgett
Nay: (0)

December 6, 2005

REAPPOINTMENT TO THE REGIONAL ISSUES COMMITTEE

Mr. Bowman moved the adoption of proposed Resolution R05-200 that reads:

A RESOLUTION TO APPOINT A MEMBER TO THE REGIONAL ISSUES COMMITTEE

WHEREAS, the Board wishes to appoint a citizen to a term on the Regional Issues Committee;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this the 6th day of December, 2005, that the following individual is hereby appointed to serve a two-year term on the Regional Issues Committee, such term to begin January 1, 2006, and expire on December 31, 2007:

Kevin J. Collins

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (4) Shepperd, Zaremba, Bowman, Burgett
Nay: (0)

REAPPOINTMENT TO THE COLONIAL COMMUNITY CRIMINAL JUSTICE BOARD

Mr. Zaremba moved the adoption of proposed Resolution R05-203 that reads:

A RESOLUTION TO REAPPOINT A YORK COUNTY REPRESENTATIVE TO THE COMMUNITY CRIMINAL JUSTICE BOARD

BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this 6th day of December, 2005, that J. D. Diggs, Sheriff of York County, be and he is hereby, reappointed as a York County representative to the Community Criminal Justice Board for a term of three years, such term to begin December 1, 2005, and end November 30, 2008.

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (4) Zaremba, Bowman, Shepperd, Burgett
Nay: (0)

At this time, the Board held a general discussion concerning the naming of public facilities.

Meeting Adjourned. At 6:57 p.m. Chairman Burgett declared the meeting be adjourned sine die.

James O. McReynolds, Clerk
York County Board of Supervisors

James S. Burgett, Chairman
York County Board of Supervisors

NOTE: In accordance with Section 15.2-1241 of the Code of Virginia, the minutes of this meeting were read at the February 21, 2006, Regular Meeting of the Board of Supervisors, and Chairman Zaremba was directed to sign such.